UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,081	09/19/2005	Aster De Schrijver	05261-PCT-PA	1440
. =	7590 06/24/200 IN & KATZ , P.A	EXAMINER		
901 DULANEY VALLEY ROAD , SUITE 400			NGO, LIEN M	
BALTIMORE,	LTIMORE, MD 21204		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3754	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/550,081	DE SCHRIJVER, ASTER		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	LIEN TM NGO	3754		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  Sply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u> 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matt	-		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4-8,10,11 and 15-17 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1, 4-8, 10, 11 and 15-17 is/are rejection and claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and claim(s) are subject to restriction are subject to restriction and claim(s) are subject to restriction are subject to re	rawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeyan ection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application 		

Art Unit: 3754

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/3/09 has been entered.

### Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to under 37 CFR 1.71, as being so incomprehensible as to preclude a reasonable search of the prior art by the examiner. For example, the following items are not understood:

In page 3, lines 27-33, Fig. 2 is described a grommet of the invention comprising a <u>part 1A being non-thermolastic rubber</u> and a part 1B being thermoplastic material. However, in page 4, lines 5-11, figs. 4 a&b is illustrated a dual injection technique for the grommet comprising the <u>part 1A being injected with a thermoplastic rubber</u>, the part 1B being injected with elastomer such as Thefsin (also being a thermoplastic rubber).

## **Drawings**

3. Figures 1a and 1b should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art--because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected

Art Unit: 3754

drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 1, 10, 11, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Soffer et al. (2,831,681). Soffer et al. discloses, in fig. 2 and 3, a valve for a container, which is capable of dispensing a polyurethane foam, adapted to be in contact with the container and the contents of the container comprising a grommet disposed around a stem 22 of the valve, the grommet having at least one part 14 made of non-thermoplastic rubber adhering to another part 28 made of a thermoplastic material (hard rigid plastic such as polyethylene, see col. 2, lines 66-65), wherein said another part is located such as to be, at least partly, in contact with the content of the container.

Art Unit: 3754

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 4 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soffer et al..

In regard to claim 4, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make grommet in the invention of Soffer et al. from EPDM as the non-thermoplastic rubber part and Trefsin or Santoprene as thermoplastic material part, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice (In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416).

In regard to claim 17, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the Soffer et al grommet from a dual injection technique in order to form one piece of an article which has formerly formed in two pieces and put together

It has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. Howard v.

Detroit Stove Works, 150 U.S 164 (1893).

Art Unit: 3754

8. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soffer et al.

further in view of Shimizu et al. (JP 2000-109748).

A silicone base position is using for coating a surface of sealing material, which is

well known in the art, as taught by Shimizu et al., for water resistance, air

tightness, etc.; therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the

art at the time the invention was made to coat the grommet of Softer et al. with silicone

base composition, as taught by Shimizu et al., in order to enhance the sealing property

of the grommet.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4-8, 10, 11 and 15-17 have been

considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to LIEN TM NGO whose telephone number is 571-272-

4545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM

-6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, KEVIN SHAVER can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/LIEN TM NGO/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3754

June 17, 2009